

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/675,443	09/30/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	14825US02	5634	
23446 7590 11/13/2008 MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD			EXAM	EXAMINER	
500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661			POLLACK, MELVIN H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2445		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/13/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/675,443 KARAOGUZ ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MELVIN H. POLLACK 2445 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 August 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other: see attached office action.

Notice of Informal Patent Application.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,443 Page 2

Art Unit: 2445

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 05 August 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. An analysis of the arguments is provided below.

- Applicant argues that Dynarski does not expressly disclose assigning, by said headend, an
 address to said first device and transferring that address to the device (P. 14). Applicant's
 argument seems to be that Dynarski merely forwards the address, and that therefore the
 assignment must have occurred elsewhere.
- 3. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the particular definition of assignment and transfer) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). It would appear that applicant desires a more narrow interpretation that that which is the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claims in light of the specification's definitions and to one of ordinary skill in the art.
- 4. While it is true that the headend receives information from Radius server #28 or mobile node location server #30, the association of a current IP address to a device's unique identifier occurs at the moment of communication establishment and of the populating of its mobility binding records (routing tables) (col. 6, line 55 col. 8, line 20). Since the claims as currently defined do not require additional functionality in the assignment of addresses, the limitation is met.

Art Unit: 2445

5. Indeed, the claim later goes on to state that the headend must communicate with the communication server the assigned address and/or the device's unique identifier, such that the communication server may broadcast the information. From this, the examiner interprets the association desired by the applicant to be that previously determined by the examiner.

- In the alternative, the headend may be considered as including both the home agent and the Radius server.
- 7. Therefore, the rejection is maintained for the reasons above, and is final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dynarski et al. (6,272,129).

Dynarski teaches a method and system (abstract) of setting up devices for communication on a network (col. 1, line 1 – col. 3, line 35) for transferring media (col. 4, lines 35-45; exchange of information or data as broadest reasonable interpretation. More specifically, the system locates the first device (Fig. 1, #14) for communication with the second device (Fig. 1, #10) via detecting the coupling (col. 4, line 45 – col. 5, line 3) and assigning a static or dynamic address (IP address) and serial number identifier (ESN or Electronic Serial Number) and using a headend (home agent #22) to register (table) the association (col. 5, lines 3 - 62). Thus, in a request for communication from the second device, the system may communicate the information to the

Application/Control Number: 10/675,443

Art Unit: 2445

communication server and broadcast through the network such that the communication is initiated (col. 6. line 55 – col. 8. line 50).

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELVIN H. POLLACK whose telephone number is (571)272-3887. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571) 272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2445

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Melvin H Pollack Examiner, Art Unit 2445 06 November 2008

/Jason D Cardone/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2445

Page 5